UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 15-2162 DDP (FFM)			Date	July 9, 2018
Title	Brown v. County of Los Angeles, et al.				
Present: The Honorable Frederick F. Mumm, United States Magistrate Judge					
James Munoz			None		None
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Reco	Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for I		ent for Plaintiff:	Attorn	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
None Present			None Present		
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HIS CLAIMS SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR UNTIMELINESS					
pleadings filed by defendants Baca and County of Los Angeles ("COLA"), the Court concluded as follows: (1) a two-year statute of limitations governs plaintiff's claims; (2) plaintiff's claims accrued by the end of September 2011; (3) plaintiff did not file this action until March 24, 2015; and (4) plaintiff is not entitled to tolling under Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 352.1. The Court accordingly recommended that plaintiff's claims against Baca and COLA be dismissed with prejudice for untimeliness under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c).					
between p defendants Therefore, date of thi with preju- 335 (9 th C district co	plaintiff's class (Tanaka, Control of the Court	aims against Bacarey, Thompson DRDERS plaints whis claims againmeliness. See Sea context of Fedmiss claim sua	ca and COLA and hing Leavins, Craig, Lordiff to show cause in what the remaining defeatismic Reservoir 2020 eral Rule of Civil Pr	s claims and serious substitutions, which is shown that it is a substitution of the serious and seriou	regard to timeliness, gainst the remaining Manzo, and Sexton). ithin 30 days of the ould not be dismissed <i>tulson</i> , 785 F.3d 330, 2(b)(6), holding that intent to dismiss and
IT I	S SO ORDE	ERED.			
					:
			Initials of P	reparer	JM